

# q-ANALOG OF TABLEAU CONTAINMENT

JANG SOO KIM

ABSTRACT. We prove a  $q$ -analog, which is valid if  $q$  is a positive real number, of the following: the probability that, when  $n$  tends to infinity, a randomly chosen standard Young tableau of size  $n$  contains a fixed standard Young tableau of shape  $\lambda \vdash k$  is equal to  $\frac{f^\lambda}{k!}$  (Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A, volume 97, 117–128, 2002). We also consider pairs of tableaux.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  denote the set of permutations of  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$  be a permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Let  $\pi_{\leq k}$  (resp.  $\pi_{> k}$ ) denote the permutation obtained from  $\pi$  by taking integers  $i \leq k$  (resp.  $i > k$ ) and by order-preserving relabeling. Let  $\pi^{\leq k}$  (resp.  $\pi^{> k}$ ) denote the permutation obtained from  $\pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_k$  (resp.  $\pi_{k+1}\pi_{k+2} \cdots \pi_n$ ) by order-preserving relabeling. For example, if  $\pi = 513697428$ , then  $\pi_{\leq 4} = 1342$ ,  $\pi_{> 4} = 12534$ ,  $\pi^{\leq 4} = 3124$  and  $\pi^{> 4} = 53214$ . If  $\sigma = \pi_{\leq k}$  for some  $k$ , then we say that  $\pi$  *contains*  $\sigma$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{I}_n$  denote the set of involutions in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . For a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ , let  $\mathfrak{I}_n(\sigma)$  denote the set of involutions in  $\mathfrak{I}_n$  containing  $\sigma$ , i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{I}_n(\sigma) = \{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n : \pi_{\leq k} = \sigma\}.$$

Let  $n$  be a nonnegative integer. A *partition*  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$  of  $n$ , denoted by  $\lambda \vdash n$ , is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r$  summing to  $n$ . The *Ferres diagram* of a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$  is the left-justified array of squares such that the  $i$ -th row has  $\lambda_i$  squares. We will identify a partition with its Ferres diagram. Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be partitions such that the Ferres diagram of  $\mu$  is contained in the Ferres diagram of  $\lambda$ . Then the *skew shape*  $\lambda/\mu$  is the set-theoretic difference  $\lambda \setminus \mu$ , and we denote  $\lambda/\mu \vdash n - m$  if  $\lambda \vdash n$  and  $\mu \vdash m$ . A partition  $\lambda$  is also considered as the skew shape  $\lambda/\emptyset$ . A *standard Young tableau*, or a *SYT* for short, of shape  $\lambda/\mu \vdash n$  is a filling of  $\lambda/\mu$  with integers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  such that entries are increasing along rows and columns. If  $T$  is a SYT of shape  $\lambda/\mu \vdash n$ , then we write  $sh(T) = \lambda/\mu$  and we say that  $T$  is of size  $n$ .

Let  $T$  be a SYT of shape  $\lambda$ . Let  $T_{\leq k}$  (resp.  $T_{> k}$ ) denote the SYT obtained from  $T$  by taking squares with integer  $i \leq k$  (resp.  $i > k$ ) and by order-preserving relabeling. For example, if  $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 6 & \\ \hline 8 & 9 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ , then  $T_{\leq 5} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline 8 & 9 & \\ \hline \end{array}$  and  $T_{> 5} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & 2 \\ \hline & 1 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ .

If  $U = T_{\leq k}$  for some  $k$ , then we say that  $T$  *contains*  $U$ .

Let  $A$  be a fixed SYT of shape  $\alpha \vdash a$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_n(A)$  denote the set of SYTs of size  $n$  containing  $A$ . We denote  $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{T}_n(\emptyset)$ .

We will assume reader's familiarity with the Robinson-Schensted correspondence, for reference, see [6, 8]. If  $\pi$  corresponds to  $(P, Q)$  in the Robinson-Schensted correspondence, then we write  $\pi \xleftrightarrow{\text{RS}} (P, Q)$ , and also write  $P(\pi) = P$  and  $Q(\pi) = Q$ . If  $\pi$  is an involution, then we write  $\pi \xleftrightarrow{\text{RS}} P$ .

---

*Date:* December 6, 2008.

Let  $\pi$  be an involution and  $\pi \xrightarrow{\text{RS}} T$ . We can easily see that  $T$  contains  $A$  if and only if  $\pi$  contains  $\sigma$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  with  $P(\sigma) = A$ . Thus the Robinson-Schensted correspondence induces a bijection

$$(1) \quad RS : \mathcal{T}_n(A) \rightarrow \bigcup_{\sigma: P(\sigma)=A} \mathfrak{I}_n(\sigma).$$

McKay, Morse and Wilf [5] proved that if  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ , then

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathfrak{I}_n(\sigma)|}{|\mathfrak{I}_n|} = \frac{1}{a!}.$$

As a corollary, they obtained that the probability that, when  $n$  goes to infinity, a random SYT of size  $n$  contains  $A$  equals  $\frac{f^\alpha}{a!}$ , i.e.,

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{T}_n(A)|}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} = \frac{f^\alpha}{a!},$$

where  $f^\alpha$  denotes the number of SYTs of shape  $\alpha$ .

Jaggard [2] defined the ‘ $j$ -set’  $J(\pi)$  of a permutation  $\pi$  and found the following exact formula for  $|\mathfrak{I}_{n+a}(\sigma)|$ . For given  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ ,

$$(4) \quad |\mathfrak{I}_{n+a}(\sigma)| = \sum_{\substack{j \in J(\sigma) \\ k = n-a+j}} \binom{n}{k} t_k,$$

where  $t_k = |\mathfrak{I}_k|$ , the number of involutions in  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ .

In this paper we find  $q$ -analogs of (2), (3) and (4).

Let  $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$  be a permutation. A *descent* of  $\pi$  is an integer  $i$  such that  $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ . Let  $T$  be a SYT. A *descent* of  $T$  is an integer  $i$  such that  $i+1$  is in a row lower than the row containing  $i$  in  $T$ . Let  $D(\pi)$  (resp.  $D(T)$ ) denote the set of all descents of  $\pi$  (resp.  $T$ ). Let  $\text{maj}(\pi)$  (resp.  $\text{maj}(T)$ ) denote the sum of all descents of  $\pi$  (resp.  $T$ ).

Let  $\pi \xrightarrow{\text{RS}} (P, Q)$ . It is well known that  $D(\pi^{-1}) = D(P)$  and  $D(\pi) = D(Q)$ , see [8]. Thus  $q^{\text{maj}((\pi^{-1})^{>a})} = q^{\text{maj}(P^{>a})}$  and  $q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>b})} = q^{\text{maj}(Q^{>b})}$  for any nonnegative integers  $a$  and  $b$ .

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{imaj}(\pi) &= \text{maj}(\pi^{-1}), \quad A_n(p, q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} p^{\text{imaj}(\pi)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)}, \\ t_n(q) &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)}, \quad f^{\lambda/\mu}(q) = \sum_{sh(T)=\lambda/\mu} q^{\text{maj}(T)}, \\ [n]_q! &= (1+q)(1+q+q^2) \cdots (1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}), \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we state our main results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $a$  be an integer and let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_{n+a}(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})} = \sum_{\substack{j \in J(\sigma) \\ k = n-a+j}} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{>j})} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q t_k(q).$$

For a real number  $r > 0$ , let us denote  $\bar{r} = \min(r, r^{-1})$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $a$  be an integer and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ . If  $q > 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})}}{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})}} = \frac{q^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} + (1-\bar{q})C}{[a]_q! + (1-\bar{q})D},$$

where  $C$  and  $D$  are polynomials of  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$ . (See Theorem 2.6 for exact value.)

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $A$  be a SYT of shape  $\alpha \vdash a$ . If  $q > 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n(A)} q^{\text{maj}(T_{>a})}}{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} q^{\text{maj}(T_{>a})}} = \frac{f^\alpha(q) + (1 - \bar{q})E}{[a]_q! + (1 - \bar{q})D},$$

where  $E$  and  $D$  are polynomials of  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$ . (See Theorem 3.3 for exact value.)

Similarly, we can consider pairs of SYTs. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be SYTs of shape  $\alpha \vdash a$  and  $\beta \vdash b$  respectively. For a pair  $(P, Q)$  of SYTs, we say that  $(P, Q)$  contains  $(A, B)$  if both  $P$  and  $Q$  contain  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{T}_n(A, B)$  denote the set of pairs  $(P, Q)$  of SYTs of the same shape of size  $n$  containing  $(A, B)$ . Let  $\pi \xleftrightarrow{\text{RS}} (P, Q)$ . It is easy to see that  $(P, Q)$  contains  $(A, B)$  if and only if  $\pi_{\leq a} = \sigma$  and  $\pi^{\leq b} = \tau$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  and  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_b$  with  $P(\sigma) = A$  and  $Q(\tau) = B$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n|_\sigma^\tau$  denote the set of  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $\pi$  contains  $\sigma$  and  $\pi^{-1}$  contains  $\tau^{-1}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{S}_n|_\sigma^\tau = \{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \pi_{\leq a} = \sigma, \pi^{\leq b} = \tau\}.$$

Then the Robinson-Schensted correspondence induces the following bijection.

$$(5) \quad RS : \mathcal{T}_n(A, B) \rightarrow \bigcup_{\substack{\sigma: P(\sigma)=A \\ \tau: Q(\tau)=B}} \mathfrak{S}_n|_\sigma^\tau.$$

We define the ‘ $j_2$ -set’  $J(\sigma, \tau)$  of a pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  of permutations, and prove the following analogs of Theorem 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $a, b, n, m$  and  $\ell$  be integers with  $a + m = b + n = \ell$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_b$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell|_\sigma^\tau} p^{\text{imaj}(\pi_{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>b})} = \sum_{\substack{j \in J(\sigma, \tau) \\ k = n - a + j}} p^{\text{imaj}(\tau_{>j})} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{>j})} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_p \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q A_k(p, q).$$

Setting  $p = q = 1$ , we get the size of  $\mathfrak{S}_\ell|_\sigma^\tau$ .

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $a, b, n, m$  and  $\ell$  be integers with  $a + m = b + n = \ell$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_b$ . Then the number of elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_\ell|_\sigma^\tau$  is equal to*

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in J(\sigma, \tau) \\ k = n - a + j}} \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{k} k!.$$

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $a, b$  be integers and let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_b$ . If  $p, q > 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n|_\sigma^\tau} p^{\text{imaj}(\pi_{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>b})}}{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} p^{\text{imaj}(\pi_{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>b})}} = \frac{p^{\text{imaj}(\tau)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} + (1 - \bar{p})(1 - \bar{q})C'}{[b]_p! [a]_q! + (1 - \bar{p})(1 - \bar{q})D'},$$

where  $C'$  and  $D'$  are polynomials of  $p, \bar{p}, q$  and  $\bar{q}$ . (See Theorem 2.7 for exact value). Specially, if  $p = 1$  or  $p = q = 1$ , then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n|_\sigma^\tau} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>b})}}{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>b})}} = \frac{q^{\text{maj}(\sigma)}}{b! [a]_q!},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathfrak{S}_n|_\sigma^\tau}{|\mathfrak{S}_n|} = \frac{1}{a! b!}.$$

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $A, B$  be SYTs of shape  $\alpha \vdash a, \beta \vdash b$  respectively. If  $p, q > 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{T}_n(A, B)} p^{\text{maj}(P_{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(Q_{>b})}}{\sum_{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{T}_n(\emptyset, \emptyset)} p^{\text{maj}(P_{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(Q_{>b})}} = \frac{f^\beta(p) f^\alpha(q) + (1 - \bar{p})(1 - \bar{q})E'}{[b]_p! [a]_q! + (1 - \bar{p})(1 - \bar{q})D'},$$

where  $E'$  and  $D'$  are polynomials of  $p, \bar{p}, q$  and  $\bar{q}$ . (See Theorem 3.4 for exact value). Specially, if  $p = 1$  or  $p = q = 1$ , then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{(P,Q) \in \mathcal{T}_n(A,B)} q^{\text{maj}(Q_{>b})}}{\sum_{(P,Q) \in \mathcal{T}_n(\emptyset, \emptyset)} q^{\text{maj}(Q_{>b})}} = \frac{f^\beta f^\alpha(q)}{b! [a]_q!},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{T}_n(A, B)|}{|\mathcal{T}_n(\emptyset, \emptyset)|} = \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{a! b!}.$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define  $j_2$ -sets and prove Theorem 1.1, 1.2, 1.4 and 1.6. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3 and 1.7. In Section 4, we find a simple method to determine a  $j_2$ -set.

## 2. PERMUTATION CONTAINMENT

Let  $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . Recall the definitions of  $\pi^{\leq k}$ ,  $\pi^{>k}$ ,  $\pi_{\leq k}$  and  $\pi_{>k}$ . These are easy to remember using the following argument. We can consider a permutation  $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$  as a collection of *bi-letters*  $\begin{smallmatrix} i \\ j \end{smallmatrix}$  as follows:

$$\pi = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \pi_1 \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ \pi_2 \end{smallmatrix}, \dots, \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ \pi_n \end{smallmatrix} \right\}.$$

Then  $\pi_{\leq k}$  (resp.  $\pi_{>k}$ ,  $\pi^{\leq k}$  and  $\pi^{>k}$ ) is the permutation obtained from  $\pi$  by taking bi-letters  $\begin{smallmatrix} i \\ j \end{smallmatrix}$  with  $j \leq k$  (resp.  $j > k$ ,  $i \leq k$  and  $i > k$ ) and by order-preserving relabeling. It is easy to see that  $(\pi^{\leq k})^{-1} = (\pi^{-1})_{\leq k}$ .

Jaggard [2] defined the  $j$ -set as follows. For a permutation  $\pi$ , the  $j$ -set  $J(\pi)$  of  $\pi$  is defined to be the set of integers  $j \geq 0$  such that  $\pi^{\leq j}$  is an involution, i.e.,

$$J(\pi) = \{j : \pi^{\leq j} = (\pi^{-1})_{\leq j}\}.$$

Note that  $\pi^{\leq 0} = \emptyset$ , the empty permutation, which we consider as an involution. Thus, we always have  $0 \in J(\pi)$ . Kim and Kim [3] found a criterion for a  $j$ -set.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be permutations. The  $j_2$ -set  $J(\sigma, \tau)$  is defined to be

$$J(\sigma, \tau) = \{j : \sigma^{\leq j} = \tau_{\leq j}\}.$$

Note that we always have  $0 \in J(\sigma, \tau)$ . Since  $J(\pi) = J(\pi, \pi^{-1})$ , every  $j$ -set is also a  $j_2$ -set. In Section 4, we will find a criterion for a  $j_2$ -set.

For  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , the *permutation matrix*  $M(\pi)$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is 1 if  $\pi_i = j$ ; and 0 otherwise. For example,  $M(4132) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let  $M$  be a 0-1 matrix such that each row and column contains at most one 1. Then, there exists a unique permutation  $\pi$  whose permutation matrix is obtained from  $M$  by removing the rows and columns consisting of zeroes. In that case, we write  $\pi \sim M$ . If  $\pi \sim M$  and  $\pi \sim N$ , then we also write  $M \sim N$ . For example,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim 213.$$

For an  $n \times m$  matrix  $M$ , let  $\text{row}(M)$  (resp.  $\text{col}(M)$ ) denote the word  $\mathbf{r} = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_n$  (resp.  $\mathbf{c} = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m$ ) of integers such that  $r_i$  (resp.  $c_i$ ) is the sum of elements in the  $i$ -th row (resp. column) of  $M$ . If  $M$  is the second matrix above, then  $\text{row}(M) = 1011$  and  $\text{col}(M) = 11010$ .

$$M(\pi) = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

FIGURE 1. The decomposition of  $\pi = 7152436$  for  $\phi_{2,3}$ .

Let  $a, b, m, n$ , and  $\ell$  be fixed integers such that  $a + m = b + n = \ell$ . Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$ . We divide the permutation matrix of  $\pi$  as follows:

$$M(\pi) = \begin{matrix} & a & m \\ b & \begin{pmatrix} M_{(1,1)} & M_{(1,2)} \\ M_{(2,1)} & M_{(2,2)} \end{pmatrix} \\ n \end{matrix}$$

where the numbers outside the matrix indicate the sizes of the block matrices.

Assume that  $M_{(1,1)}$  contains  $j$  1's. Then  $M_{(1,2)}$ ,  $M_{(2,1)}$  and  $M_{(2,2)}$  contain  $b-j$ ,  $a-j$  and  $n-a+j$  1's respectively. Let  $k = n-a+j$ . Let  $\pi_{(r,s)}$  be the permutation satisfying  $\pi_{(r,s)} \sim M_{(r,s)}$  for  $r = 1, 2$  and  $s = 1, 2$ . Let  $\mathbf{c}_1 = \text{col}(M_{(2,1)})$ ,  $\mathbf{c}_2 = \text{col}(M_{(2,2)})$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_1 = \text{col}(M_{(1,2)})$  and  $\mathbf{r}_2 = \text{col}(M_{(2,2)})$ . Then we define the map

$$\phi_{a,b} : \mathfrak{S}_\ell \rightarrow \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq a \\ k = n-a+j}} \mathfrak{S}_j \times \mathfrak{S}_{b-j} \times \mathfrak{S}_{a-j} \times \mathfrak{S}_k \times \binom{[a]}{a-j} \times \binom{[b]}{b-j} \times \binom{[m]}{k} \times \binom{[n]}{k}$$

by

$$\phi_{a,b}(\pi) = (\pi_{(1,1)}, \pi_{(1,2)}, \pi_{(2,1)}, \pi_{(2,2)}, \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{r}_2),$$

where  $\binom{[n]}{k}$  denotes the set of words consisting of  $k$  1's and  $n-k$  0's.

For example, if  $\pi = 7152436$  then, see Figure 1, we have

$$\phi_{2,3}(\pi) = (1, 21, 1, 213, 01, 101, 11010, 0111).$$

It is easy to see that  $\phi_{a,b}$  is a bijection.

Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ ,  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_b$  and  $\mathbf{r} \in \binom{[a+b]}{b}$ . The *shuffle*  $\text{sf}(\sigma, \tau; \mathbf{r})$  is the permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_{a+b}$  obtained from  $\mathbf{r}$  by replacing the  $i$ -th 0 to  $\sigma_i$  and the  $j$ -th 1 to  $a + \tau_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a$  and  $1 \leq j \leq b$ . For example,  $\text{sf}(3142, 231; 0010110) = 3164752$ . The following lemma is due to Garsia and Gessel [1].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a, b$  be integers and let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ ,  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_b$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \binom{[a+b]}{b}} q^{\text{maj}(\text{sf}(\sigma, \tau; \mathbf{r}))} = q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) + \text{maj}(\tau)} \begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Now we can prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.4. Recall that

$$t_n(q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)}, \quad A_k(p, q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_k} p^{\text{imaj}(\pi)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)},$$

and  $\mathfrak{S}_n|_\sigma^\tau = \{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \pi_{\leq a} = \sigma, \pi_{\leq b} = \tau\}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $a$  be an integers and let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_{n+a}} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})} &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq a \\ k=n-a+j}} t_j \binom{a}{j} [a-j]_q! \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q t_k(q), \\ \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_{n+a}(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})} &= \sum_{\substack{j \in J(\sigma) \\ k=n-a+j}} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{>j})} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q t_k(q). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of the following theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $a, b, n, m$  and  $\ell$  be integers with  $a + m = b + n = \ell$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_b$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell} p^{\text{imaj}(\pi^{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>b})} &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq a \\ k=n-a+j}} j! \binom{a}{j} \binom{b}{j} [b-j]_p! [a-j]_q! \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_p \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q A_k(p, q), \\ \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell|_\sigma^\tau} p^{\text{imaj}(\pi^{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>b})} &= \sum_{\substack{j \in J(\sigma, \tau) \\ k=n-a+j}} p^{\text{imaj}(\tau^{>j})} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{>j})} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_p \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q A_k(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $0 \leq j \leq a$ . Consider a permutation  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$  such that  $\pi_{(1,1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_j$ , where

$$\phi_{a,b}(\pi) = (\pi_{(1,1)}, \pi_{(1,2)}, \pi_{(2,1)}, \pi_{(2,2)}, \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{r}_2).$$

Then  $(\pi^{>a})^{-1} = \text{sf}(\pi_{(1,2)}^{-1}, \pi_{(2,2)}^{-1}; \mathbf{c}_2)$  and  $\pi^{>b} = \text{sf}(\pi_{(2,1)}, \pi_{(2,2)}; \mathbf{r}_2)$ . Thus

$$(6) \quad p^{\text{imaj}(\pi^{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>b})} = p^{\text{maj}(\text{sf}(\pi_{(1,2)}^{-1}, \pi_{(2,2)}^{-1}; \mathbf{c}_2))} q^{\text{maj}(\text{sf}(\pi_{(2,1)}, \pi_{(2,2)}; \mathbf{r}_2))}.$$

Let  $k = n - a + j$ . By Lemma 2.2, the sum of (6) over all  $\pi_{(2,2)} \in \mathfrak{S}_k, \mathbf{c}_2 \in \binom{[m]}{k}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_2 \in \binom{[n]}{k}$  equals

$$(7) \quad p^{\text{imaj}(\pi_{(1,2)})} q^{\text{maj}(\pi_{(2,1)})} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_p \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q A_k(p, q).$$

Summing (7) over all  $j, \pi_{(1,1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_j, \pi_{(1,2)} \in \mathfrak{S}_{b-j}, \pi_{(2,1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_{a-j}, \mathbf{c}_1 \in \binom{[a]}{a-j}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_1 \in \binom{[b]}{b-j}$ , and using the well known result  $\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} = [n]_q!$ , we get the first identity.

If  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell|_\sigma^\tau$ , then  $\text{sf}(\pi_{(1,1)}, \pi_{(1,2)}; \mathbf{r}_1) = \tau$  and  $\text{sf}(\pi_{(1,1)}^{-1}, \pi_{(2,1)}^{-1}; \mathbf{c}_1) = \sigma^{-1}$ , which implies  $\pi_{(1,1)} = \sigma^{\leq j} = \tau^{\leq j}, \pi_{(1,2)} = \tau^{>j}$  and  $\pi_{(2,1)} = \sigma^{>j}$ . Thus we have  $j \in J(\sigma, \tau)$ , and  $j$  determines  $\pi_{(1,1)}, \pi_{(1,2)}, \pi_{(2,1)}, \mathbf{c}_1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_1$ . Then we get the second identity by summing (7) over all  $j \in J(\sigma, \tau)$ .  $\square$

Recall that for a real number  $r > 0$ , we denote  $\bar{r} = \min(r, r^{-1})$ .

The proof of the following lemma is in Section 5.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $p, q > 0$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{t_{n+1}(q)}{[n+1]_q!}}{\frac{t_n(q)}{[n]_q!}} = 1 - \bar{q}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{A_{n+1}(p, q)}{[n+1]_p! [n+1]_q!}}{\frac{A_n(p, q)}{[n]_p! [n]_q!}} = (1 - \bar{p})(1 - \bar{q}).$$

Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $a$  be an integer and let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ . If  $q > 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})}}{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})}} = \frac{\sum_{j \in J(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{>j})} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q [j]_q! (1 - \bar{q})^j}{\sum_{j=0}^a [a]_q! t_j \binom{a}{j} (1 - \bar{q})^j}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3, the left hand side is equal to

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+a}(\sigma)} \frac{q^{\text{maj}(\pi > a)}}{[n]_q!}}{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+a}} \frac{q^{\text{maj}(\pi > a)}}{[n]_q!}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j \in J(\sigma)} \frac{q^{\text{maj}(\sigma > j)}}{[a-j]_q!} \frac{t_{n-a+j}(q)}{[n-a+j]_q!}}{\sum_{j=0}^a t_j \binom{a}{j} \frac{t_{n-a+j}(q)}{[n-a+j]_q!}}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{t_{n-a+j}(q)}{[n-a+j]_q!}}{\frac{t_{n-a}(q)}{[n-a]_q!}} = (1 - \bar{q})^j.$$

Then, we get the theorem by dividing the numerator and denominator of the right hand side of (8) by  $\frac{t_{n-a}(q)}{[n-a]_q!}$ , and by multiplying them by  $[a]_q!$ .  $\square$

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem, which implies Theorem 1.6.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $a, b$  be integers and let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ ,  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_b$ . If  $p, q > 0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n|_{\tau}^{\sigma}} p^{\text{imaj}(\pi > a)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi > b)}}{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} p^{\text{imaj}(\pi > a)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi > b)}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j \in J(\sigma, \tau)} p^{\text{imaj}(\tau > j)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma > j)} \frac{[b]_p!}{p} \frac{[a]_q!}{q} [j]_p! [j]_q! (1 - \bar{p})^j (1 - \bar{q})^j}{\sum_{j=0}^a [b]_p! [a]_q! j! \binom{a}{j} \binom{b}{j} (1 - \bar{p})^j (1 - \bar{q})^j}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. TABLEAU CONTAINMENT

Jaggard [2] proved that for a SYT  $A$  of shape  $\alpha$ ,

$$(9) \quad \#\{\sigma : P(\sigma) = A, j \in J(\sigma)\} = \sum_{\mu \vdash j} f^{\alpha/\mu}.$$

The following is a generalization of (9).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be SYT of shape  $\alpha$ . Let  $j$  be a fixed nonnegative integer. Then*

$$\sum_{\sigma: \begin{cases} P(\sigma)=A \\ j \in J(\sigma) \end{cases}} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma > j)} = \sum_{\mu \vdash j} f^{\alpha/\mu}(q).$$

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of the following lemma.  $\square$

We can also consider pairs of SYTs.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be SYTs of shape  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. Let  $j$  be a fixed nonnegative integer. Then*

$$\sum_{(\sigma, \tau): \begin{cases} P(\sigma)=A \\ Q(\tau)=B \\ j \in J(\sigma, \tau) \end{cases}} p^{\text{imaj}(\tau > j)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma > j)} = \sum_{\mu \vdash j} f^{\beta/\mu}(p) f^{\alpha/\mu}(q).$$

*Proof.* Let  $X = \{(\sigma, \tau) : P(\sigma) = A, Q(\tau) = B, j \in J(\sigma, \tau)\}$  and  $Y = \{(U, V) : \mu \vdash j, sh(U) = \beta/\mu, sh(V) = \alpha/\mu\}$ . It is sufficient to find a bijection  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  such that if  $\psi(\sigma, \tau) = (U, V)$ , then  $\text{imaj}(\tau > j) = \text{maj}(U)$  and  $\text{maj}(\sigma > j) = \text{maj}(V)$ .

We define  $\psi(\sigma, \tau) = (U, V)$  by  $U = P(\tau)_{>j}$  and  $V = Q(\sigma)_{>j}$ . Then we have  $\text{imaj}(\tau > j) = \text{maj}(U)$  and  $\text{maj}(\sigma > j) = \text{maj}(V)$ .

To prove  $\psi$  is a bijection, it is sufficient to show that for  $(U, V) \in Y$  there exists a unique pair  $(\sigma, \tau) \in X$  satisfying  $\psi(\sigma, \tau) = (U, V)$ . Let  $\alpha \vdash a$ . Since  $P(\sigma) = A, Q(\sigma)_{>j} = V$ , by reversing the insertion algorithm  $a-j$  times, we can find  $\sigma_a, \sigma_{a-1}, \dots, \sigma_{j+1}$  and  $P(\sigma^{\leq j})$ . Since  $P(\tau)_{\leq j} = P(\tau_{\leq j}) = P(\sigma^{\leq j})$  and  $P(\tau)_{>j} = U$ , we can determine  $P(\tau)$ . Thus we get  $\tau$ , from which we can determine  $\sigma^{\leq j} = \tau_{\leq j}$ . Thus we get  $\sigma$ , and there is a unique pair  $(\sigma, \tau) \in X$  with  $\psi(\sigma, \tau) = (U, V)$ .  $\square$

Now we can prove the following theorem, which implies Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a fixed SYT of shape  $\alpha \vdash a$ . If  $q > 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n(A)} q^{\text{maj}(T_{>a})}}{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} q^{\text{maj}(T_{>a})}} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^a \begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q [j]_q! (1 - \bar{q})^j \sum_{\mu \vdash j} f^{\alpha/\mu}(q)}{\sum_{j=0}^a [a]_q! t_j \binom{a}{j} (1 - \bar{q})^j}.$$

*Proof.* By the Robinson-Schensted correspondence (1), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n(A)} q^{\text{maj}(T_{>a})}}{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} q^{\text{maj}(T_{>a})}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\sigma: P(\sigma)=A} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})}}{\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})}},$$

which is, by Theorem 2.6, equal to

$$\frac{\sum_{\sigma: P(\sigma)=A} \sum_{j \in J(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{>j})} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q [j]_q! (1 - \bar{q})^j}{\sum_{j=0}^a [a]_q! t_j \binom{a}{j} (1 - \bar{q})^j}.$$

The numerator is equal to

$$\sum_{j=0}^a \begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q [j]_q! (1 - \bar{q})^j \sum_{\sigma: \begin{cases} P(\sigma)=A \\ j \in J(\sigma) \end{cases}} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{>j})}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we are done.  $\square$

Similarly, we get the following, which implies Theorem 1.7.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be SYTs of shape  $\alpha \vdash a$  and  $\beta \vdash b$  respectively. If  $p, q > 0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{(P,Q) \in \mathcal{T}_n(A,B)} p^{\text{maj}(P_{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(Q_{>b})}}{\sum_{(P,Q) \in \mathcal{T}_n(\emptyset, \emptyset)} p^{\text{maj}(P_{>a})} q^{\text{maj}(Q_{>b})}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=0}^a \begin{bmatrix} b \\ j \end{bmatrix}_p \begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q [j]_p! [j]_q! (1 - \bar{p})^j (1 - \bar{q})^j \sum_{\mu \vdash j} f^{\beta/\mu}(p) f^{\alpha/\mu}(q)}{\sum_{j=0}^a [b]_p! [a]_q! j! \binom{a}{j} \binom{b}{j} (1 - \bar{p})^j (1 - \bar{q})^j}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (9), Jaggard [2] proved the following theorem of Sagan and Stanley [7]:

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\lambda/\alpha \vdash n} f^{\lambda/\alpha} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k} t_k \sum_{\alpha/\mu \vdash n-k} f^{\alpha/\mu}.$$

We can prove a  $q$ -analog of (10).

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a fixed partition. Then*

$$\sum_{\lambda/\alpha \vdash n} f^{\lambda/\alpha}(q) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q t_k(q) \sum_{\alpha/\mu \vdash n-k} f^{\alpha/\mu}(q).$$



*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \vdash a$ . Let  $A$  be a SYT of shape  $\alpha$ . Then the left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+a}(A)} q^{\text{maj}(T_{>a})} &= \sum_{\sigma: P(\sigma)=A} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{J}_{n+a}(\sigma)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi^{>a})} \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma: P(\sigma)=A} \sum_{\substack{j \in J(\sigma) \\ k=n-a+j}} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{>j})} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q t_k(q) \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.3}) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq a \\ k=n-a+j}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q t_k(q) \sum_{\sigma: \begin{cases} P(\sigma)=A \\ j \in J(\sigma) \end{cases}} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{>j})} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq a \\ k=n-a+j}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q t_k(q) \sum_{\mu \vdash j} f^{\alpha/\mu}(q) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \\
 &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q t_k(q) \sum_{\alpha/\mu \vdash n-k} f^{\alpha/\mu}(q).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Sagan and Stanley [7] also proved the following:

$$(11) \quad \sum_{\substack{\lambda/\alpha \vdash m \\ \lambda/\beta \vdash n}} f^{\lambda/\alpha} f^{\lambda/\beta} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{k} k! \sum_{\substack{\beta/\mu \vdash m-k \\ \alpha/\mu \vdash n-k}} f^{\beta/\mu} f^{\alpha/\mu}.$$

Using the same argument of Theorem 3.5, we can prove a  $q$ -analog of (11).

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed partitions. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda/\alpha \vdash m \\ \lambda/\beta \vdash n}} f^{\lambda/\alpha}(p) f^{\lambda/\beta}(q) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_p \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q A_k(p, q) \sum_{\substack{\beta/\mu \vdash m-k \\ \alpha/\mu \vdash n-k}} f^{\beta/\mu}(p) f^{\alpha/\mu}(q).$$

We note that Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 can also be proved using the following identities of skew Schur functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda/\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\mu} s_{\alpha/\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \prod_i (1 - x_i)^{-1} \prod_{i < j} (1 - x_i x_j)^{-1}, \\
 \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda/\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) s_{\lambda/\beta}(\mathbf{y}) &= \prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} \sum_{\mu} s_{\beta/\mu}(\mathbf{x}) s_{\alpha/\mu}(\mathbf{y}).
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. CRITERION FOR A $j_2$ -SET

Kim and Kim [3] found the following a criterion for a  $j$ -set.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $J$  be a  $j$ -set with the largest element  $m \geq 2$ . Then, for  $n > m$ ,  $J \cup \{n\}$  is a  $j$ -set if and only if  $n = m + 1$  or  $n - m \geq m - \max(J \cap [m - 2])$ .*

Since  $J(\sigma) = J(\sigma, \sigma^{-1})$ , a  $j$ -set is also a  $j_2$ -set. But the converse is not true. In this section we will find a criterion for a  $j_2$ -set. Our proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [3], but easier than that.

We start with a simple observation.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $J$  be a  $j_2$ -set with the largest element  $n$ . Then there is a permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $J(\pi, \pi) = J$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\sigma, \tau)$  be a pair with  $J = J(\sigma, \tau)$ . Since  $\sigma^{\leq n} = \tau_{\leq n}$ , if we set  $\pi = \sigma^{\leq n}$ , then we have  $J(\pi, \pi) = J$ . □

To prove the criterion theorem we need the following four lemmas. Recall that, for 0-1 matrices  $M$  and  $N$ , we write  $M \sim N$  if the matrices obtained from  $M$  and  $N$  by removing rows and columns consisting of zeroes are the same.

For the rest of this section, we assume that  $n$  and  $k$  are positive integers.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $m$  be an integer greater than 1. Let  $J$  be a  $j_2$ -set such that the three largest elements of  $J$  are  $n - k$ ,  $n$  and  $n + m$ . Then  $m \geq k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be a permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_{n+m}$  satisfying  $J(\pi, \pi) = J$ . Let  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  be the  $n \times n$ ,  $n \times m$ ,  $m \times n$  and  $m \times m$  matrices respectively such that  $M(\pi) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $n \in J(\pi, \pi)$ , we have  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ C & \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $\sigma = \pi^{\leq n} = \pi_{\leq n}$ . Let  $B$  have  $s$  nonzero entries. Then  $C$  also has  $s$  nonzero entries. If  $s = 0$ , then we get  $n + 1 \in J(\pi, \pi)$  because  $\pi^{\leq n+1} \sim \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \pi_{\leq n+1}$ . But this is a contradiction to  $m > 1$ . Thus  $s \geq 1$ . Since  $\sigma^{\leq n-s} \sim A \sim \sigma_{\leq n-s}$ , we get  $\sigma^{\leq n-s} = \sigma_{\leq n-s}$ . Thus

$$\pi^{\leq n-s} = (\pi^{\leq n})^{\leq n-s} = \sigma^{\leq n-s} = \sigma_{\leq n-s} = (\pi_{\leq n})_{\leq n-s} = \pi_{\leq n-s}$$

and we get  $n - s \in J(\pi, \pi)$ . Since  $n - k$  is the largest element in  $J \cap [n - 1]$ , we get  $n - s \leq n - k$ . Since  $B$  has at most  $m$  nonzero entries, we get  $k \leq s \leq m$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $J$  be a  $j_2$ -set such that the two largest elements of  $J$  are  $n - k$  and  $n$ . Then  $J \cup \{n + k\}$  is a  $j_2$ -set.*

*Proof.* If  $k = 1$ , then it is clear. Assume  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with  $J(\sigma, \sigma) = J$ . Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+k}$  be the permutation satisfying

$$(12) \quad M(\pi) = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A, B$  and  $C$  are the matrices of size  $n \times n$ ,  $k \times n$  and  $n \times k$  respectively such that  $M(\sigma) \sim \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} A & C \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $J(\pi, \pi) \cap [n] = J$  and  $n + k \in J(\pi, \pi)$ , it is sufficient to show that  $n + s \notin J(\pi, \pi)$  for all  $1 \leq s < k$ . Suppose  $n + s \in J(\pi, \pi)$  for some  $1 \leq s < k$ . Then we have

$$(13) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B' & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} A & C' \\ B & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $B'$  (resp.  $C'$ ) is the matrix consisting of the first  $s$  rows of  $B$  (resp. columns of  $C$ ). Removing the last  $k - s$  nonzero rows and columns of the matrices in both sides of (13), we get  $\sigma^{\leq n-k+s} = \sigma_{\leq n-k+s}$ , i.e.,  $n - k + s \in J(\sigma, \sigma) = J$ , which is a contradiction to the assumption that  $n - k$  and  $n$  are the two largest element of  $J$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $J$  be a  $j_2$ -set such that the two largest elements of  $J$  are  $n - k$  and  $n$ . If  $k \geq 2$ , then  $(J \setminus \{n\}) \cup \{n + 1\}$  is a  $j_2$ -set.*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n$  be a permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with  $J(\sigma, \sigma) = J$ . Since  $n - 1 \notin J(\sigma, \sigma)$ , we have  $\sigma_n \neq n$ . Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$  be the permutation such that

$$\pi_i = \begin{cases} \sigma_i & \text{if } i < n \text{ and } \sigma_i < n, \\ n + 1 & \text{if } i < n \text{ and } \sigma_i = n, \\ n & \text{if } i = n, \\ \sigma_n & \text{if } i = n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $M(\sigma)$  and  $M(\pi)$  are decomposed as follows:

$$M(\sigma) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline A & C \\ \hline B & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad M(\pi) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline A & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array} & C \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline B & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array},$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ ,  $1 \times (n-1)$  and  $(n-1) \times 1$  matrices respectively. It is not difficult to see that  $J(\pi, \pi) = (J \setminus \{n\}) \cup \{n+1\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $J$  be a  $j_2$ -set such that the two largest elements of  $J$  are  $n-1$  and  $n$ . Then  $J \cup \{n+k\}$  is a  $j_2$ -set for any positive integer  $k$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear if  $k = 1$ . Assume  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$  with  $J(\sigma, \sigma) = J \setminus \{n\}$ . Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+k}$  such that  $M(\pi) = \begin{pmatrix} M(\sigma) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I_{k-1} \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $I_{k-1}$  is the  $(k-1) \times (k-1)$  identity matrix. Then  $J(\pi, \pi) = J \cup \{n+k\}$ .  $\square$

Summarizing the above four lemmas, we obtain the following criterion theorem.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $J$  be a  $j_2$ -set such that the two largest elements of  $J$  are  $n-k$  and  $n$ . Then  $J \cup \{n+m\}$  is a  $j_2$ -set if and only if  $m = 1$  or  $m \geq k$ .*

It is easy to see that if  $J$  is a  $j_2$ -set, then  $J \cap [k]$  is also a  $j_2$ -set for any integer  $k$ . Using Theorem 4.7, we can find a simple method to check whether a given set is a  $j_2$ -set or not.

Let  $S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$  be a set of integers such that  $s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n$ . We define  $\Delta(S)$  as follows. Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  be the sequence such that  $a_i = s_{n-i+1} - s_{n-i}$ . Let  $\mathbf{i} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$  be the set of integers such that  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$  and  $a_j = 1$  if and only if  $j \in \mathbf{i}$  and let  $i_0 = 0$ . Then  $\Delta(S)$  is the sequence  $(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_k)$ , where  $\mathbf{s}_j = (a_{i_{j-1}+1}, a_{i_{j-1}+2}, \dots, a_{i_j})$ .

**Example 4.8.** Let  $S = \{0, 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{a} = \{2, 1, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 3, 2, 1\}$ . Thus  $\Delta(S) = ((2, 1), (1), (1), (4, 1), (1), (3, 2, 1))$ .

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$  be a set of integers such that  $s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n$  and  $\Delta(S) = (\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_k)$ . Then  $S$  is a  $j_2$ -set if and only if  $s_0 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 1$  and  $\mathbf{s}_i$  is a partition with exactly one part equal to 1 for all  $i \in [k]$ .*

*Proof.* It is a straightforward verification using Theorem 4.7.  $\square$

By Corollary 4.9, the set  $S$  in Example 4.8 is a  $j_2$ -set. Using Corollary 4.9, we can easily get the generating function for the number of  $j_2$ -sets.

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let  $j_2(n)$  be the number of  $j_2$ -sets with the largest element  $n$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} j_2(n) x^n = \frac{x \prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{1}{1-x^i}}{1 - x \prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{1}{1-x^i}} = \frac{x}{\prod_{i \geq 2} (1-x^i) - x},$$

$$\{j_2(n)\}_{n \geq 1} = \{1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 15, 29, 55, 105, 200, 381, 725, 1381, 2629, 5005, \dots\}.$$

## 5. PROOF OF LEMMA 2.5

**Lemma 5.1.** *We have*

$$\frac{t_n(q^{-1})}{[n]_{q^{-1}}!} = \frac{t_n(q)}{[n]_q!},$$

$$\frac{A_n(p^{-1}, q)}{[n]_{p^{-1}}! [n]_q!} = \frac{A_n(p, q^{-1})}{[n]_p! [n]_{q^{-1}}!} = \frac{A_n(p^{-1}, q^{-1})}{[n]_{p^{-1}}! [n]_{q^{-1}}!} = \frac{A_n(p, q)}{[n]_p! [n]_q!}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a SYT of size  $n$ . Then  $\text{maj}(T) + \text{maj}(T') = \binom{n}{2}$ , where  $T'$  denotes the transpose of  $T$ . Thus

$$t_n(q^{-1}) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} q^{-\text{maj}(T)} = q^{-\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} q^{\text{maj}(T')} = q^{-\binom{n}{2}} t_n(q).$$

Since  $q^{-\binom{n}{2}} / [n]_{q^{-1}}! = 1 / [n]_q!$ , we get  $\frac{t_n(q^{-1})}{[n]_{q^{-1}}!} = \frac{t_n(q)}{[n]_q!}$ . The rest identities can be proved similarly.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $0 < q < 1$ , then*

$$\log \left( \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i)^{-i} \right) < \left( 1 + \frac{q}{(1-q)^2} \right) \left( 1 + \log \frac{1}{1-q} \right).$$

*Proof.* The left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \geq 1} i \log \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^i} \right) &= \sum_{i \geq 1} i \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{q^{ij}}{j} \\ &= \sum_{i, j \geq 2} \frac{iq^{ij}}{j} + \sum_{i \geq 1} iq^i + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{q^j}{j} - 1 \\ &< \sum_{i, j \geq 2} \frac{iq^{i+j}}{j} + \sum_{i \geq 1} iq^i + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{q^j}{j} \\ &< \left( 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} iq^i \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{q^j}{j} \right) = \left( 1 + \frac{q}{(1-q)^2} \right) \left( 1 + \log \frac{1}{1-q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  be two infinite sequences of independent variables. Let  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$  denote the Schur function in the variables  $\mathbf{x}$ . The following formulas are well known, see [4, 8].

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) z^n = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - x_i z)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j} (1 - x_i x_j z^2)^{-1},$$

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) s_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) z^n = \prod_{i, j \geq 1} (1 - x_i y_j z)^{-1}.$$

It is also known, see [8, Proposition 7.19.11], that if  $x_i = q^{i-1}$ , then

$$s_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \dots) = \frac{f^\lambda(q)}{(1-q)^n [n]_q!}.$$

Thus we get the following.

$$(16) \quad \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \dots) = \frac{t_n(q)}{(1-q)^n [n]_q!}$$

$$(17) \quad \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda(1, p, p^2, \dots) s_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \dots) = \frac{A_n(p, q)}{(1-p)^n (1-q)^n [n]_p! [n]_q!}$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $0 < p, q < 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \dots) &= \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i)^{-1} \prod_{0 \leq i < j} (1 - q^{i+j})^{-1}, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda(1, p, p^2, \dots) s_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \dots) &= \prod_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ i+j > 0}} (1 - p^i q^j)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi_n(q) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \dots)$ . By (14), we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \xi_n(q) z^n = \prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - q^i z)^{-1} \prod_{0 \leq i < j} (1 - q^{i+j} z^2)^{-1},$$

equivalently,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\xi_n(q) - \xi_{n-1}(q)) z^n = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i z)^{-1} \prod_{0 \leq i < j} (1 - q^{i+j} z^2)^{-1},$$

where  $\xi_{-1}(q) = 0$ .

Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \xi_N(q) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N (\xi_n(q) - \xi_{n-1}(q)) = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i)^{-1} \prod_{0 \leq i < j} (1 - q^{i+j})^{-1}$$

converges, because

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i)^{-1} < \prod_{0 \leq i < j} (1 - q^{i+j})^{-1} = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i)^{-\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil} < \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i)^{-i},$$

where  $\prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i)^{-i}$  converges by Lemma 5.2. Thus we get the first limit. Similarly, we can prove the second limit.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.5.* We will only prove the first limit. The second can be proved similarly. Using the well known asymptotic behavior of  $t_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} n^{n/2} \exp(-\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{4})$ , we can easily see that it holds for  $q = 1$ . Assume  $q \neq 1$ . By Lemma 5.1, it is sufficient to show that for  $0 < q < 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{t_{n+1}(q)}{[n+1]_q!}}{\frac{t_n(q)}{[n]_q!}} = 1 - q.$$

Since  $\frac{t_n(q)}{[n]_q!} = (1 - q)^n \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \dots)$ , we are done by Lemma 5.3.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Professor Richard Stanley for helpful comments. I would also like to thank Professor Ron King for pointing out an error in Lemma 5.3.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. M. Garsia and I. Gessel. Permutation statistics and partitions. *Adv. in Math.*, 31(3):288–305, 1979.
- [2] Aaron D. Jaggard. Subsequence containment by involutions. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 12:Research Paper 14, 15 pp. (electronic), 2005.
- [3] Dongsu Kim and Jang Soo Kim. The initial involution patterns of permutations. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 14(1):Research Paper 2, 15 pp. (electronic), 2007.
- [4] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.
- [5] Brendan D. McKay, Jennifer Morse, and Herbert S. Wilf. The distributions of the entries of Young tableaux. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 97(1):117–128, 2002.
- [6] Bruce E. Sagan. *The symmetric group*, volume 203 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2001. Representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions.
- [7] Bruce E. Sagan and Richard P. Stanley. Robinson-Schensted algorithms for skew tableaux. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 55(2):161–193, 1990.
- [8] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND  
TECHNOLOGY, DAEJEON 305-701, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* `jskim@kaist.ac.kr`